

CENTRE FOR DIVERSITY AND LEARNING, MULTIPLES, LANGUAGE & TRANSLATION TECHNOLOGY TEAM (LT3) Amaury Van Parys, Vanessa De Wilde, Lieve Macken, Maribel Montero Perez

LEXPRO: A PLURILINGUAL LEXICAL PROFILING TOOL FOR RESEARCH

AND MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

Theoretical background

Vocabulary

- Essential predictor of L2 reading comprehension (Jeon & Yamashita, 2022)
- More words known = better comprehension (Schmitt et al., 2011)

Gaps in prior profiling methods

Word counting unit

- Typical counting unit in profiling is the **word family**, which covers a headword (e.g., 'act') with all its inflections (e.g., 'acts', 'acting') and derivations (e.g., 'actor')
- Need for objective method to assess how demanding a text's vocabulary will be for L2 learners

Lexical profiling

- Method for determining **vocabulary demands** of L2 input
- Often used in previous research: TV series (Webb & Rodgers, 2009), novels (Nation, 2006), L2 textbooks (Van Parys et al., 2024), etc.
- Categorising vocabulary across **word frequency** levels: Higher-frequency words have higher odds of being known by learner (Nation, 2013)
- Allows to estimate **vocabulary loads**, i.e., estimates of required vocabulary size for achieving crucial points of vocabulary coverage (Webb, 2020):
 - **95%** coverage: needed for basic comprehension
 - **98%** coverage: needed for detailed comprehension
- Example: according to Webb & Rodgers (2009), the 3,000 most frequent word families in English need to be known for 95% coverage of TV series and thus basic comprehension

- However: increasing criticism (e.g., McLean, 2018; Stoeckel et al., 2024)
- Potentially more appropriate counting unit: the **flemma,** which covers a headword with all inflections (across different parts of speech), but not derivations

Word frequency as proxy for learner knowledge

- Typically used frequency lists in profiling are based on **broad corpora** covering a wide range of topics (e.g., British National Corpus)
- However: these lists do not reflect learner knowledge as closely as once presumed (Pinchbeck et al., 2022)
- Lists derived from **subtitle corpora** (e.g., SubtLex-UK) appear to align more with learner knowledge (Pinchbeck et al., 2022; van Heuven et al., 2014)

Lack of focus on non-English L2s

- Most existing tools (e.g., LexTutor; Cobb, n.d.) mainly target English
- In line with overall focus on English in Second Language Acquisition (Brezina & Pallotti, 2019)

Goals of LexPro

LexPro aims to set itself apart from existing profiling tools by:

How does LexPro work?

Programmed in **Python** and relying on the **spaCy** NLP library (Honnibal & Montani, 2017)

- Using the **flemma** as main word counting unit
- Using subtitle-based frequency lists
- Targeting **English** in addition to multiple other L2s (currently **French**, **Spanish**, and **Dutch**)

Output

Example corpus: French Netflix series 'Lupin' (Kay & Uzan, 2021-present)

- 3 seasons
- 17 episodes
- 54,502 running tokens
- On average 3,206 tokens per episode

XPIU Plurilingual L	exical Profiling Tool for Research and Materials Development - Resu	lts New den
	<text appears="" here="" sample=""></text>	
	Blurred for copyright reasons	

Text file(s) uploaded by user

Tokenisation and part-of-speech tagging

Proper names, marginal words, and numerals are labelled separately

Lemmatisation of remaining tokens

For each lemmatised unit, the corresponding (f)lemma-based band is retrieved in the word list

Based on the retrieved information, a lexical profile is created (in addition to word repetition tables and visuals) *Oh, Thomas bought 10 apples at the supermarket. Afterwards, he visited Melissa and George.*

Oh (marginal word), Thomas (proper noun), bought (verb), 10 (numeral), apples (noun), at (preposition), the (article), supermarket (noun), afterwards (adverb), he (pronoun), visited (verb), Melissa (proper noun), and (conjunction), George (proper noun)

MW, PN, bought, NUM, apples, at, the, supermarket, afterwards, he, visited, PN, and, PN

MW, PN, buy, NUM, apple, at, the, supermarket, afterwards, he, visit, PN, and, PN

MW, PN, 1,000, NUM, 2,000, 1,000, 1,000, 3,000, 3,000, 1,000, 1,000, 1,000, PN, 1,000, PN

Basic Data Lexical Profile Word Repetition Number of files 53 Total word count (tokens) 23540 Mean word count (tokens / texts) 444.15 Type-token ratio 0.2

		1 .	2 🌧	3 🚓	4 🛋	5 🚕	6 🔺	7 🔿	8 🚓	9 🛋	10 🔺	10+ 🛋	Total
10+	1,000	116	106	99		51		28		28	36	214	
	2,000	223	130	65	38	24	22	10	6	4	4	16	
	3,000	179	81	34	18	5	9	3	2	2	1	1	1
	4,000	138	40	23	10	7	2	2	3	0	1	1	
	5,000	116	26	9	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	
	6,000	95	15	3	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	7,000	58	11	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	
	8,000	56	4	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	9,000	38	5	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	
	10,000	39	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Other	654	141	56	21	13	8	5	2	4	3	21	9
	Total	1712	562	295	175	105	86	51	39	38	45	259	3

1 text _ 2 texts _ 3 _ 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _ 9 _ 10 _ 10+ _ Total

 92
 30
 9
 9
 4
 2
 1
 3
 3
 3
 928

 538
 257
 126
 96
 53
 45
 37
 35
 24
 143
 3367

	In how many texts are words used?	
NT VERSITY		

GHE

UNI

Basic Data Lexical Profile

How many tir

2,000	542 (16.1%)	752 (16.08%)	1496 (6.36%)	21039 (89.38%)
3,000	335 (9.95%)	408 (8.73%)	745 (3.16%)	21784 (92.54%)
4,000	227 (6.74%)	271 (5.8%)	430 (1.83%)	22214 (94.37%)
5,000	160 (4.75%)	175 (3.74%)	280 (1.19%)	22494 (95.56%)
6,000	121 (3.59%)	128 (2.74%)	169 (0.72%)	22663 (96.27%)
7,000	78 (2.32%)	85 (1.82%)	140 (0.59%)	22803 (96.87%)
8,000	64 <mark>(</mark> 1.9%)	65 (1.39%)	83 (0.35%)	22886 (97.22%)
9,000	49 (1.46%)	56 (1.2%)	95 (0.4%)	22981 (97.63%)
10,000	44 (1.31%)	44 (0.94%)	53 (0.23%)	23034 (97.85%)
11,000	33 (0.98%)	36 (0.77%)	50 (0.21%)	23084 (98.06%)
12,000	24 (0.71%)	26 (0.56%)	31 (0.13%)	23115 (98.19%)
13,000	24 (0.71%)	24 (0.51%)	29 (0.12%)	23144 (98.32%)
14,000	24 (0.71%)	26 (0.56%)	35 (0.15%)	23179 (98.47%)
15,000	17 (0.5%)	17 (0.36%)	37 (0.16%)	23216 (98.62%)
16,000	18 (0.53%)	18 (0.38%)	22 (0.09%)	23238 (98.72%)
17,000	8 (0.24%)	10 (0.21%)	14 (0.06%)	23252 (98.78%)
18,000	14 (0.42%)	15 (0.32%)	17 (0.07%)	23269 (98.85%)
19,000	11 (0.33%)	12 (0.26%)	14 (0.06%)	23283 (98.91%)
20,000	7 (0.21%)	8 (0.17%)	11 (0.05%)	23294 (98.95%)
21,000	6 (0.18%)	6 (0.13%)	8 (0.03%)	23302 (98.99%)
22,000	8 (0.24%)	8 (0.17%)	8 (0.03%)	23310 (99.02%)
23,000	6 (0.18%)	7 (0.15%)	<mark>9 (</mark> 0.04%)	23319 (99.06%)
24,000	4 (0.12%)	4 (0.09%)	5 (0.02%)	23324 (99.08%)
25,000	9 (0.27%)	9 (0.19%)	10 (0.04%)	23334 (99.12%)
Beyond 25,000	37 (1.1%)	38 (0.81%)	46 (0.2%)	23380 (99.32%)
Off-list	104 (3.09%)	108 (2.31%)	160 (0.68%)	23540 (100%)
Proper nouns	446 (13.25%)	447 (9.56%)	952 (4.04%)	952 (4.04%)
Marginal words	4 (0.12%)	4 (0.09%)	25 (0.11%)	25 (0.11%)
Digits	124 (3.68%)	129 (2.76%)	311 (1.32%)	311 (1.32%)
Total	3367 (100%)	4676 (100%)	23540 (100%)	23540 (100%)

819 (24.32%) 1740 (37.21%) 18255 (77.55%) 19543 (83.02%)

Lexical Profile

1,000

Word Repetition

Contact

amaury.vanparys@ugent.be https://research.flw.ugent.be/en/amaury.vanparys

